

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-22 are now pending in the application. Applicants have elected group V being claims 8 in full and claims 1, 2, 7, and 13 in part with respect to SEQ ID NO:18 and SEQ ID NO:26. Applicants have cancelled claims 1-22 and offered new claims 23-26.

Support for the new claims is found in the specification as originally filed. Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments.

### **REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112**

Claims 1, 2, 7, and 13 in part and claim 8 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for lack of written description and lack of enablement. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection as applied to the amended claims and require reconsideration.

Applicants have offered new claims drawn to subject matter that the Office Action states is supported by a written description and is enabled. See page 6, line 21, to page 7, line 6 of the Office Action for written description; and page 7, line 7 to line 15 for enablement. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the rejection be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Jan 22, 2004

By: 

G. Gregory Schivley  
Reg. No. 27,382

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

GGG/MAF/cg